



Review Criteria for the 6th ICLIST 2018

Full Paper

Criteria	Detail	Evaluation				
		5 (Excellent)	4 (Good)	3 (Fair)	2 (Poor)	1 (Unacceptable)
Relevance:	Information and evidence presented in paper are relevant to the ICLIST 2018 themes and tracks available. It also states clearly the relevance and usefulness to an audience.					
Completion:	The paper shows substantial results indicating the work completion.					
Organization:	The paper is well organized and made easy to follow.					
Quality:	The paper is well-written with care assuring that the reader will understand the background of the topic(s) and the objectives of the work done. The methods, data, and analysis support the conclusions. It is free from grammatical errors. The figure(s) and table(s) enhance understanding and the references support well the paper and lead the reader to locate even more in-depth knowledge.					
Novelty:	The paper shows innovative information and evidence, or presents new findings of the application in the field.					
Advancement of field:	The paper shows a significant advance or contribution to the field. It is convincing and worthy to publication, and leads to a fruitful discussion of the scholars.					

IRB: When applicable, the paper has included the evidence of the approval from institutional review board.

- Yes No

Reviewer's recommendation: (choose only one)

- Accept
 Accept with minor revision
 Accept with major revision that require re-review

Are you willing to review this paper again when the author resubmits it ? Yes No

Type of presentation Oral Poster

Can this paper be considered as a candidate for the best paper award? Yes No

<p>Feedback to author (s)</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Feedback to ICLIST/NCLIST 2018 program committee</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p>